



CEN/TC 33/WG 7
Burglary resistance

Email of secretary: lina.nordfors@sis.se
Secretariat: SIS (Sweden)

CEN-TC33-WG7 Minutes - 17th meeting - 2019-09-11--12

Document type: Meeting report

Date of document: 2019-10-11

Expected action: INFO

Background:

Committee URL: <https://cen.iso.org/livelihood/livelihood/open/centc33wg7>

Lina Nordfors
lina.nordfors@sis.se

CEN/TC 33/WG 7 Burglary Resistance

Stockholm 11–12 September 2019, 17th meeting

Day 1: 10h00 – 17h00

Day 2: 09h00 – 13h00

Place: SIS, Swedish Institute for Standards, Stockholm

1. Opening and welcome

Håkan Hedlund welcomed everybody to the 17th meeting.

2. Adoption of the agenda

The agenda in [N374](#) was accepted.

3. Roll call of experts

Håkan Hedlund	Convenor, SIS, Sweden
Lina Nordfors	Secretary, SIS, Sweden
Hervé Le Coq	AFNOR, France
Martial Schneider	AFNOR, France
Pierre Vilain	AFNOR, France
Thierry Delaume	AFNOR, France
Herbert Mate	ASI, Austria
Stefan Purrer	ASI, Austria
Martin Wieser, <i>1st day only</i>	ASI, Austria
Paul Dillon	BSI, United Kingdom
Johannes Trampert	DIN, Germany
Jürgen Lienau	DIN, Germany
Jürgen Meinhardt	DIN, Germany
Britta Tipsmark Hougaard	DS, Denmark
Karsten Andersen	DS, Denmark
Morten Jul Lægaard	DS, Denmark
Arjen Koole	NEN, Netherlands
Gerrit Buitenhuis	NEN, Netherlands
Björn Bovin	SIS, Sweden
Christoph Rossmanith	SNV, Switzerland
Eneko Gatón	UNE (Spain)

Apologies were sent in by Christian Kehrer, Paolo Rigone and Renzo Ravegnini.

Attendance list, see document [N383](#).

3.1 WG 7 See [Member list](#) on CEN Livelink.

3.2 Task groups See Members lists for [TG 1](#), [TG 2](#), [TG 3](#), [TG 4](#)

4. Minutes from previous meeting

Paris 2018-02-20—21, [N332](#)

The minutes were accepted.

5. Reports

5.1 Report from CEN/TC 33 (if any)

The CEN/TC 33 meeting was 3-4 April 2019 in Brussels. Regarding WG 7, Lina Nordfors reported that the standards would be sent out on CEN Enquiry. There were several questions for guidance on the WG 7 standards.

The next meeting of CEN/TC 33 will be held 21-22 April 2020 in Brussels.

There will be a CEN/TC 33 Convenor's meeting mid-October 2019 with Tapani Mikkeli, a Work Session on the Standardisation Request to facilitate citation of standards on-going.

5.2 Report from CEN/TC 33/WG 4 (if any)

The next meeting of CEN/TC 33/WG 4 will be 18 September 2019 in Velbert.

6. TG 4 – Guidance

6.1 Q and A documents, [N370](#), [N384](#)

Paul Dillon reported from TG 4 Guidance (N384).

TG 4 proposed to make guidance available on a web based portal. Morten Jul Lægaard has secured a web domain, www.en1627.com. The domain is less than 100 Euro a year, the cost is mostly the administration. Morten could ask his organization if they could host. The TC 33 Secretary and the WG 7 Secretary has said no to hosting a website for guidance for WG 7.

A Q & A template is proposed for handling questions and answers (N370). The guidance would be to clarify text of published standards and would be informative.

The Q & A template was sent out in N370 to give WG 7 a picture of how questions could be handled. Paul thanked everybody for the input on the content, for example Johannes Trampert had sent in a statement in N375.

Lina Nordfors said that the advice of SIS is that there should be a check point, when we evaluate if TG 4 shall continue with the Q & A support. For example, that when the new editions are ready for publication, WG 7 shall evaluate if the Q & A process shall continue. As a basis for the decision, the received question can be evaluated to see if the topics of the questions have been clarified in the new edition.

The meeting agreed that guidance is required.

Questions could be asked when the standard is used in a test laboratory or by a manufacturer, asking if their product is within the scope.

There was a discussion on what would be important to consider in a process for replying to questions on guidance. Håkan draw a draft process chart on a white page.

Key words from the WG 7 discussion as input to TG 4:

- WG 7 – Review, Agree on Mandate for TG 4/framework, Process, Scope, Transparency
- TG 4 – Members
- www.en1627.com – Access, Hosting
- Financing
- Informative/Normative

- Action 1** WG 7 ask TG 4 to propose a process for how TG 4 and WG 7 can handle guidance questions and publish guidance, to be sent out to WG 7 by 2 weeks before the meeting 13-14 November.
- Action 2** WG 7 ask Morten Jul Lægaard to ask if his organization could finance hosting a web page for the guidance.

6.2 EN 1630 tool usage, [N371](#)

N371 “EN1630 tool usage” was a first draft for a guidance document which had been circulated in WG 7 so that TG 4 could receive feedback to bring back for the further discussions in TG 4. The document was not discussed in the meeting.

Christian Kehrer had sent comments on N371 as he could not attend the meeting (N377). The document was not discussed in the meeting.

6.3 Mandate for TG 4, [N342](#)

Minutes from the TG 4 meeting 11 September 2018. The document was not discussed in the meeting.

7. prEN 1627 – prEN 1630

7.1 Proposal of 9 Month Tolerance Request

The WG needs to send the FV drafts to the TC Secretary three months before the deadline of the TC Secretary to send the drafts to CEN, to allow for a decision in CEN/TC 33 to send on Formal Vote. The current deadline is that the drafts shall be sent to CEN by 2020-01-26 and sent to the TC Secretary by 2019-10-26.

The Secretariat proposed to ask CEN/TC 33 for a 9 Month Tolerance Request, to allow time to handle all Enquiry comments.

- Action 3** WG 7 decide that the Secretariat shall ask for 9 Month Tolerance Requests for prEN 1627, prEN 1628, prEN 1629 and prEN 1630.

7.2 prEN 1627 Annex E, [N373](#)

The meeting discussed the possible options for Annex E (N373). The possibility of writing a CEN/TS was introduced. Morten cited the definition of CEN/TS at the CEN website "The Technical Specification (CEN/TS), that serves as normative document in areas where the actual state of the art is not yet sufficiently stable for a European Standard".

There was an intense discussion with many engaged members.

Vote on possible options for Annex E:

- 1. Rewrite according to the comments and keep as normative:** 7 votes: (Herbert Mate, Martin Wieser, Christoph Rossmanith, Stefan Purrer, Jürgen Lienau, Jürgen Meinhardt, Johannes Trampert)
- 2. Rewrite according to the comments and change to informative:** 0
- 3. Rewrite and apply for a separate WG 7 NWI (CEN/TS?)** – 13 votes. (Håkan Hedlund, Hervé Le Coq, Martial Schneider, Pierre Vilain, Thierry Delaume, Paul Dillon, Britta Tipsmark Hougaard, Karsten Andersen, Morten Jul Lægaard, Arjen Koole, Gerrit Buitenhuis, Björn Bovin, Eneko Gatón)
- 4. Delete;** 0 votes

If point 3 would not be possible, WG 7 choose Option 1.

It is important for WG 7 to be able to refer to the CEN/TS in the WG 7 standards.

Herbert Mate and TG 3 offered to take the task to work with the comments on Annex E, to come back to WG 7 with a revised proposal.

- Action 4** WG 7 ask Håkan Hedlund and Herbert Mate to write a draft Scope for an EN or CEN/TS with the Annex E content, to be sent out to WG 7 by 2 weeks before the meeting 13-14 November.
- Action 5** The Secretariat shall check the rules regarding CEN/TS, rules regarding references to CEN/TS in an EN and ask the CEN/TC 33 Secretary for advice, regarding feasibility and type of document.
- Action 6** WG 7 ask TG 3 to start to handle the Enquiry comments on Annex E.

New experts are invited to be a part of TG 3 *Electrically controlled and operated locking systems on products*. To register a TG expert, contact the WG 7 Secretariat.

7.3 Drafts prEN 1627 [N352](#), prEN 1628 [N353](#), prEN 1629 [N354](#) and prEN 1630 [N355](#)

The drafts sent in to the TC Secretariat for CEN Enquiry are available in N-documents. Britta Tipsmark Hougaard pointed out that as for example there are Tables that was re-numbered by CEN in the Enquiry version, WG 7 should look at the Enquiry versions when discussing Enquiry comments.

- Action 7** The Secretariat shall post the CEN Enquiry versions as N-documents.

7.4 Comments prEN 1627 [N363](#), prEN 1628 [N365](#), prEN 1629 [N367](#) and prEN 1630 [N369](#), 190904 Comments prEN1627 and prEN1630, [N378](#)

Comments were sent in 4 September by Martin Wieser after a test run to apply prEN 1627 – 1630 (2019) to test and classify doors with electromechanics components (mechatronic lock cylinder, electromechanic lock in combination with a wireless remote control) that was done by the test labs ift Rosenheim (DE), Berner Fachhochschule Biel (CH) and Holzforschung Austria (AT) (N378). Martin Wieser explained that they had met to exchange experiences and to collaborate in a test run of the CEN Enquiry versions. They found that the drafts were good to work with, but found some things that need to be clarified. The ASI committee had sent in the comments on prEN 1627. There were not a majority to send in the comments on prEN 1630.

The Secretariat had asked Martin Wieser to circulate the comments in the Austrian mirror committee, as comments should be sent in by a mirror committee. Martin Wieser had asked Christian Kehrer and Christoph Rossmanith to send the comments on prEN 1627 and 1630 to their NSB:s to forward them to the Secretariat.

Action 8

WG 7 decided that the new comments from the lab run will be handled, if they are sent in by a National Standardisation body.

The Secretariat will inform the CEN/TC 33 Secretariat how the new comments will be handled.

The new comments will not be handled before the next WG 7 meeting, to allow for all to read the comments.

Håkan Hedlund had prepared the CEN Enquiry comment files, so that the time in the WG 7 meeting could be used effectively. Editorial comments that could be updated by the Secretariat had been marked in cyan. Comments regarding Annex E had been marked in yellow. Comments regarding figures had been marked red. Comments with no colour-marking were to be handled by WG 7 at meetings.

WG 7 started working with the comments on figures, to allow time for updates of figures.

WG 7 worked with comments on figures in EN 1627, EN 1628 and EN 1629.

Action 9

WG 7 ask Germany to send the "page 3" mentioned in comments, including a proposal for a figure for the comment EN 1628 DE 049 and additional drawing for windows and replacement for existing drawing for doors for EN 1629 DE 061.

Action 10

Paul Dillon and Morten Jul Lægaard shall propose solutions for the EN 1628 comments DE 052 and DK 053, regarding the figures A.60 and A.61, for the next WG 7 meeting.

Action 11

Paul Dillon shall write new text for 6.2.3 for the comments EN 1629 DE 049 and DE 050, for the next WG 7 meeting.

It would save time at the next WG 7 meeting if the secretariat prepare proposed replies to the figure comments in EN 1630, based on the decisions taken on similar comments on figures.

8. Any other business

The wording of the meeting decisions was checked, updated together on the screen and accepted.

TG 4 planned a Skype meeting 27 September.

To register a TG expert, contact the WG 7 Secretary and Paul Dillon.

Paul Dillon informed that Oliver Troska, Germany had left the work in WG 7 and TG 4, so there is no German expert in TG 4. Lina Nordfors promised to e-mail the German NSB to invite experts to TG 4.

Herbert Mate thanked all countries who had sent in comments and asked countries who didn't send comments to register experts to TG 3. Herbert will plan for a meeting in November in Vienna.

Håkan Hedlund will provide comment lists with the Annex E comments only to TG 3.

9. Next meetings

Date	Activity	Comments
2019-09-27	TG 4 Skype meeting	
2019-11	TG 3 meeting in November	Herbert Mate will plan for a meeting and send the invitation to TG 3
2019-11-13—14	Next WG 7 meeting, Velbert	



10. End of meeting

Håkan Hedlund closed the meeting at 13:00, thanked everybody and wished everybody a safe trip home.

List of documents from the meeting

N383 – CENTC33WG7 Attendance list 2019-09-11--12

N384 – TG4 presentation

N385 – CEN-TC33-WG7 Actions 2019-09-11—12

N387 – prEN 1627 Comments

N388 – prEN 1628 Comments

N389 – prEN 1629 Comments

N390 – prEN 1630 Comments